

REMARKS

The present remarks are submitted in response to a final office action mailed August 4, 2008. The application consists of claims 1-66 of which claims 45-66 are withdrawn. No amendments to the claims are filed with this response.

Claim rejections 102 & 103

Claims 1, 2, 4-30, 33-36, 41, 42 and 44 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by Morgenshtein, Master of Science Thesis in Bio-Medical Engineering, at the Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Israel, April 2003.

Claim 31 and 32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Morgenshtein.

Claim 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Morgenshtein in view of Hiramoto et al (US 4,444,644).

Claims 37-40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Morgenshtein in view of Indermuhle et al (US 6,794,197) and Sawada et al (Transducers, 2003 12th Annual Conference on Solid-State Sensors, Actuators, and Microsystems, Pg. 1023-1026).

Claim 43 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Morgenshtein in view of Tsuboshima (US 4,332,658).

The claims stand all rejected in view of Morgenshtein. The Examiner argued that since the author of the Morgenshtein reference is credited as Arkadiy Morgenshtein only and the present application indicates a different inventive entity comprising of three inventors, the Morgenshtein reference qualifies as prior art under 35 USC 102(a).

Applicants respectfully disagree and submit that the two additional inventors besides Morgenshtein, namely Uri Dinnar and Yael Nemrovsky, supervised the

Research Thesis as is indicated on the second page of the Thesis. All three inventors contributed to the inventive concepts presented in the Research Thesis. Nevertheless, Arkadiy Morgenshtein was credited as the author of the Thesis, as is customary with Master students. Applicants have filed on December 3, 2008 declarations under 37 CFR 1.131 declaring that the work on the Morgenshtein reference was jointly performed by all three inventors of the present application.

In response thereof, the Examiner issued an advisory action on December 16th indicating that the declarations filed are too general as the work on the Thesis could be taken only to contribution such as editing. Therefore, applicants file new declarations under 37 CFR 1.131 by all three inventors, declaring that all three inventors jointly contributed to the inventive concepts presented in the Research Thesis.

In view of the declarations filed herewith, applicants respectfully submit that the Morgenshtein reference disqualifies as prior art under 35 USC 102(a) and that the rejection is moot.

Conclusion

Since all the rejections have been overcome, the claims are now deemed patentable. A notice thereof is respectfully awaited.

Respectfully submitted,



Martin D. Moynihan
Registration No. 40,338

Date: January 5, 2009

Enclosures:

- Petition for Extension (Two Months)
- Declarations of inventors MORGENSHTEIN, NEMIROVSKY and DINNAR